

**STRICKEN PURSUANT TO THE
#7518 ORDER ENTERED ON
1/30/2019.**

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA**

CHARLESTON DIVISION

IN RE: ETHICON, INC.,
PELVIC REPAIR SYSTEM
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL NO. 2327

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO THE CASES IN THE EXHIBIT(S) ATTACHED HERETO

INACTIVE DOCKET ORDER

The Court has been advised by counsel¹ that in the cases listed on the attached Exhibit(s), the Plaintiffs and C.R. Bard, Inc. (“Bard”) have agreed to a settlement model with regard to Bard. The Court, therefore, finds it unnecessary to conduct further proceedings or to keep these cases on the active docket. Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** as follows:

1. All discovery deadlines are continued until further order of the Court.
2. That the Clerk place the case(s) listed on the attached Exhibit(s) on the pending inactive docket.
3. Plaintiff(s) and Bard may submit an agreed order of dismissal with prejudice on or before April 29, 2019; if settlements are not finalized and dismissal orders are not submitted by April 29, 2019, then any case remaining on an inactive docket will be moved to the active docket and placed on a shortened Docket Control Order.

Counsel for plaintiff(s) and defendant(s) are directed to provide quarterly reports as to

¹ In some cases, plaintiffs’ counsel who appear for a particular plaintiff(s) have authorized other counsel to act on that counsel’s behalf regarding settlement matters.

**STRICKEN PURSUANT TO THE
#7518 ORDER ENTERED ON
1/30/2019.**

their progress in dismissing cases on the inactive docket. The Court is aware that counsel have agreed to a settlement model for a group of cases and claims, including those on the attached Exhibit(s). The Court will reinstate any case on the attached Exhibit(s) to the active docket if one of the parties, on motion, shows good cause for such reinstatement. Good cause includes, but is not limited to, a plaintiff's refusal or inability to consummate settlement. Any motion to reinstate must be accompanied by an affidavit from plaintiff explaining such refusal or inability.

The court **DIRECTS** the Clerk to file a copy of this order in MDL No. 2327 and in the individual case(s) listed on the attached Exhibit(s), and to link this Order to the Proposed Inactive Docket Order filed at ECF No. _____. The court further **DIRECTS** the Clerk to send a copy of this Order to counsel of record and any unrepresented party.

**STRICKEN PURSUANT TO THE
#7518 ORDER ENTERED ON**

EXHIBIT A – Wagstaff & Cartmell LLP 1/30/2019.

CIVIL ACTION NUMBER (listed numerically in ascending order)	CASE NAME
2:13-cv-11898	Linda Stumpf, et al. v. C. R. Bard, Inc.
2:14-cv-11142	Donna Elliott v. C. R. Bard, Inc.
2:16-cv-03516	Martha Jenkins, et al. v. C. R. Bard, Inc.
2:16-cv-05182	Joann Mayer v. C. R. Bard, Inc.